RIPE Policy Update

Andrea Cima
RIPE NCC

Andrea Cima | 8 June 2016 | ENOG 11

What is a Policy?

- Policies are the rules that the RIPE NCC and everyone in our service region have to follow!

- Registration and use of IPv4, IPv6 and ASNs is based on these rules

- …but policies can always be changed

RIPE Policy Development

- RIPE policies are made by people like you!

- Policy development in RIPE is done via working groups — following an open, bottom-up, consensus-based approach

- People share policy ideas in working group sessions at RIPE Meetings…

- …but all discussions and decisions take place on the mailing lists

Current Policy Discussions (IPv4)

- **2015-04, "RIPE Resource Transfer Policies"**

  - Creates a single transfer policy with all relevant information on the transfer of Internet number resources

- **2015-05, "Revision of Last /8 Allocation Criteria"**

  - Allows LIRs to request an additional /22 IPv4 allocation from the RIPE NCC every 18 months (WITHDRAWN)

- **2016-03, "Locking Down the Final /8 Policy"**

 - Limits IPv4 from the remaining address pool to one /22 allocation per LIR (regardless of how it was received)

Current Policy Discussions (Other)

- ● **2016-01, "Include Legacy Internet Resource Holders in the Abuse-c Policy"**

  - Requires an abuse contact for legacy Internet resource holders in the RIPE Database

- ● **2016-02, "Resource Authentication Key (RAK) code for third party authentication"**

  - Allows all number resources to be authenticated via an API key

2015 PDP Participation by Country

RIPE Forum

- Web-based interface to RIPE community mailing lists

- Modern way to interact

- A post creates an email and vice versa

- Everything happens on your browser, no emails to your inbox (if you wish)

- No impact for current mailing list subscribers

RIPE Forum

Address Policy Working Group

Collapse Off

Threaded ON

[address-policy-wg] opposition to 2015-04

Remco van Mook
2016-05-25 09:52:55 CET

< Share

> Dear all,
>
> as just mentioned during the address policy session, I'm withdrawing my
> objection to 2015-04. While I do think a discussion about policy structure
> still needs to be held, I don't think it should hold up this proposal any
> longer. This can be fixed after adoption – as long as we're aware.
>
> I do maintain my suggestion to put references in place where chapters about
> transfers are removed from other sections of policy.
>
> Kind regards,
>
> Remco

↩ Reply

Aleksey Bulgakov
2016-05-25 09:57:23 CET

< Share

> Please, go to https://ripe72.ripe.net/live/main/ . There is discussion
> about IPv4 proposals now.
>
> 2016-05-25 10:52 GMT+03:00 Remco van Mook <remco.vanmook _at_ gmail _dot_
> com>:
> >
> > Dear all,

RIPE Forum

RIPE Forum

Available Lists

RIPE Forum Test mailing list	›
ACM Task Force	›
Address Policy Working Group	›
Anti-Abuse Working Group	›
Best Current Operational Practices (BCOP) Task Force	›
Connect Working Group	›
Cooperation Working Group	›
Database Working Group	›
DNS Working Group	›

Recent Posts

[db-wg] Faked entries in the RIPE db

Last updated by Ian Dickinson at 2016-05-31 12:44:24

[ipv6-wg] New on RIPE Labs: How are you Deploying IPv6? Take this Survey

Last updated by Mirjam Kühne at 2016-05-31 11:40:50

[dns-wg] New on RIPE Labs: Anycast vs. DDoS - Evaluating the November 2015 Root DNS Event

Last updated by Mirjam Kühne at 2016-05-31 10:01:52

The RIPE Forum is an additional way to participate in RIPE community mailing list discussions using a web-based interface rather than an email client. Everything you post here goes to the mailing list and everything posted to the mailing list is visible here.

Useful Information

- Current RIPE Policy Proposals:

    - http://ripe.net/pdp/proposals

- Map Address Policy Engagement

    - http://bit.ly/APWGML15

- RIPE Forum

    - https://www.ripe.net/participate/mail/forum/

- Follow

    - @PDO_RIPE_NCC

Questions

pdo@ripe.net
@PDO_RIPE_NCC